

REMARKS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated September 1, 2004.

The Examiner has objected to the drawings because they include reference character(s) not mentioned in the description. The specification has been corrected to avoid this objection.

Moreover, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Further, the Examiner has stated that claims 1-4 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 1-4 have been amended to overcome the rejection(s).

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for examination, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



SIGNATURE

Leong C. Lei

Registration No. 50402

December 31, 2004